

ENTERED

March 04, 2022

Nathan Ochsner, Clerk

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
CORPUS CHRISTI DIVISION

ALEXIS A APPLES,

Petitioner,

VS.

TEXAS BOARD OF PARDONS AND
PAROLES, *et al.*,

Respondents.

§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§

CIVIL ACTION NO. 2:21-CV-00142

ORDER ADOPTING MEMORANDUM & RECOMMENDATION

Before the Court is Magistrate Judge Julie Hampton's Memorandum and Recommendation (M&R). (D.E. 20). The parties were provided proper notice of, and the opportunity to object to, the Magistrate Judge's M&R. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); FED. R. CIV. P. 72(b); General Order No. 2002-13. No objection has been filed. When no timely objection has been filed, the district court need only determine whether the Magistrate Judge's M&R is clearly erroneous or contrary to law. *United States v. Wilson*, 864 F.2d 1219, 1221 (5th Cir. 1989) (per curiam); *Powell v. Litton Loan Servicing, LP*, No. CIV. A. H-14-2700, 2015 WL 3823141, at *1 (S.D. Tex. June 18, 2015) (Harmon, J.).

Having carefully reviewed the proposed findings and conclusions of the Magistrate Judge, the filings of the parties, the record, and the applicable law, and finding that the M&R is not clearly erroneous or contrary to law, the Court **ADOPTS** the M&R in its entirety. (D.E. 20).

Accordingly:

- (1) The Court construes Petitioner's petition as a 28 U.S.C. § 2254 petition. *See* (D.E. 1).
- (2) The Court **GRANTS** Respondent's motion for summary judgment (D.E. 15) and **DENIES** Petitioner's construed § 2254 petition (D.E. 1).
- (3) A Certificate of Appealability is **DENIED**.
- (4) A final judgment will be entered separately.

SO ORDERED.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'DM', is written over a horizontal line.

DAVID S. MORALES
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Dated: Corpus Christi, Texas
March 4th, 2022